



# Ryedale District Council

---

**REPORT TO:** Policy and Resources

**DATE:** 17 April 2008

**REPORTING OFFICER:** Corporate Policy Manager  
Clare Slater

**SUBJECT:** North Yorkshire Local Area Agreement 2

**WARDS AFFECTED:** All

---

## 1.0 PURPOSE OF REPORT

The report outlines the process for the approval of the North Yorkshire Local Area Agreement 2 and the requirements placed on District Councils in Two Tier Areas.

## 2.0 RECOMMENDATIONS

a. that the committee delegates authority to approve the content of the LAA 2 as it relates to Ryedale District Council to the Chief Executive in consultation with the Leader of the Council.

b. Following the final agreement of the North Yorkshire LAA2 in June 2008 that it is presented to this committee for approval.

## 3.0 REASONS SUPPORTING DECISION

The government has published the following in Creating Strong, Safe and Prosperous Communities Statutory Guidance: Draft for Consultation (CLG November 2007):

4.15 It is our intention to amend the Local Authorities (Functions and Responsibilities) Regulations 2000 SI 2000/2853 to ensure that the LAA will need to be approved by full council. This requirement mirrors those procedures for similar local authority plans including the Sustainable Community Strategy. In areas with both district and county councils – it will be at the discretion of the individual district councils as to whether they choose to formally adopt those targets they are signing up to prior to the whole Agreement being formally adopted by the county council on behalf of the LSP.

## 4.0 Policy Context

---

The Local Government and Public involvement in Health Act 2007 establishes a formal framework for co-operation around a new statutory Local Area Agreement. This has created the following duties and responsibilities:

- A duty on lead authorities (that is for Ryedale the North Yorkshire County Council) to produce a LAA and to consult and seek the participation of named partners and such persons as they consider appropriate.
- A duty to produce a Community Strategy was introduced by section 4 of the Local Government Act 2000. The Bill seeks to extend the requirement on local authorities to “consult and seek the participation of such persons as they consider appropriate” specifically to require lead local authorities to consult and seek the participation of the named partners.
- A duty on local authorities and named partners to co-operate with each other to agree targets relevant to themselves in the LAA.
- A duty on named partners to have regard to relevant targets in the LAA.
- A duty on the lead authority to have regard to its Community Strategy when preparing the LAA.

These legislative changes do not create a ‘statutory Local Strategic Partnership’ (LSP) i.e. a body with its own legal status capable of, for example, directly commissioning services or holding a budget. Instead the LSP will remain a voluntary partnership of individual partners focused on agreeing and delivering on agreed targets laid out in a LAA.

## **5.0 BACKGROUND**

In 2007 the Government announced that all Local Area Agreements (LAA) would be aligned in terms of timescale and principle. This means that the North Yorkshire LAA which was signed off in 2007 and covers the period April 2007- March 2010 will cease in March 2008 and be replaced by a new nationally agreed LAA for North Yorkshire that commences on 1<sup>st</sup> April 2008 and end 31<sup>st</sup> March 2011(LAA2).

## **6.0 REPORT**

LAA2 comprises of 16 statutory indicators as determined by the Department of Children Schools and Families, and 32 indicators drawn from the National Indicator Set and 25 locally identified indicators.

In North Yorkshire a process of analysis and identification of indicators occurred in December 2007 and January 2008. Thematic Partnerships agreed the first draft of LAA2 that was submitted to Government Office (14<sup>th</sup> January). Version 9 of the agreement was submitted to GOYH on 5 March 2008.

### **Timetable for approval of the NYLAA2**

Date	Activity	Meetings
<b>March 2008</b> 5 <sup>th</sup> March	Submission of 2 <sup>nd</sup> Draft to GOYH.	
13 <sup>th</sup> March	Endorsement of LAA2	NYSP Council
<b>April 2008</b> 10 <sup>TH</sup> April	Endorsement of LAA2	NYSP Executive
30 <sup>th</sup> April	Endorsement of LAA2	Association of North Yorkshire Councils
<b>May 2008</b> 21 <sup>st</sup> May	Adoption of LAA2 (as accountable body)	NYCC -County Council
31 <sup>st</sup> May	NYLAA2 to be submitted to the Secretary of State	

Ryedale District Council is represented on the NYSP executive by the Chief Executive and on the NYSP Council by the Leader of the Council. The Chief Executive and Leader of the Council attend the Association of North Yorkshire Councils meetings.

The Ryedale Strategic Partnership will consider formally endorsing the LAA2 at its meeting in May 2008, following the completion of negotiations with GOYH.

#### **Indicator Table**

The following attempts to explain some of the information contained in the LAA2 table at annex A. This is version 9 of the LAA 2 and was submitted to GOYH on 5 March 2008 in line with the published timetable:

#### **Designated Indicators:**

These are indicators that are drawn from the National Indicator Set and form part of the 35 indicators.

#### **Local Indicators:**

These are indicators that have been identified as a priority for North Yorkshire and may be from the National Indicator Set or unique to North Yorkshire

#### **Stretch Indicators from LAA1:**

GOYH have advised that existing stretch targets will continue up to 2010 and a target for 2010/11 negotiated where applicable.

The table attached at Annex B illustrates the indicators for which Ryedale District Council is identified as a lead partner. It also includes the indicators for which Safer Ryedale will be one of the lead partners. This table differs also to that included at annex A in that it has a column

which identifies the data source for the target data for Ryedale and also the Delivery lead officer from RDC. It should be noted that all of the indicators require delivery which the Council has already approved and made provision for.

## **7.0 FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS**

Any financial implications of the delivery planned for towards the achievement of the LAA2 targets for which the Council is identified as a lead partner have been planned for in the Councils existing planning framework.

## **8.0 RISK ASSESSMENT**

Any risk should be identified and any mitigation planned for in the Council's existing Risk Management Plans. Also the LAA is delivered in partnership and any risk will therefore be shared.

## **9.0 CONCLUSION**

The Council is subject to a duty to co-operate in the development and delivery of the Local Area Agreement. In order to fulfil this duty the Council needs to be able to commit to the role of lead partner for a number of the indicators and target included in the LAA2. As the LAA2 is subject to a complex process of negotiation and will remain a draft until final agreement and sign off by the Secretary of State for Communities and Local Government, it is suggested that the most expedient way to fulfil this duty is to delegate authority to the Chief Executive in consultation with the Leader of the Council, to approve the content of the LAA 2 as it relates to Ryedale District Council. Following the final agreement of the LAA in June 2008 it is suggested that the LAA2 be presented to this committee for its approval.

### **Background Papers:**

The following information can be accessed via the NYSP website at [www.nysp.org.uk](http://www.nysp.org.uk) :

North Yorkshire LAA 1

North Yorkshire LAA 2

The following can be accessed via the Communities and Local Government website at [www.communities.gov.uk](http://www.communities.gov.uk):

The Local Government and Public Improvement in Health Bill  
Creating Strong, Safe and Prosperous Communities Statutory Guidance:  
Draft for Consultation (CLG November 2007)

### **OFFICER CONTACT:**

Please contact Clare Slater, Corporate Policy Manager if you require any further information on the contents of this report. The officer can be contacted at Ryedale House, Malton, YO17 7HH or on 01653 600666 ext 347 or by email at [clare.slater@ryedale.gov.uk](mailto:clare.slater@ryedale.gov.uk)

## CORPORATE POLICY APPRAISAL FORM

Policy Context	Impact Assessment	Impact +ve -ve Neutral
Community Plan Themes	<b>All are covered by the LAA2</b>	+ve
Corporate Objectives/Priorities	<b>All are covered by LAA2</b>	+ve
Service Priorities	Links are made to existing service delivery in the areas of Housing, Culture, Environment, Waste Management, Planning Policy and Development Control, Tourism	+ve
Financial	Any implications are already included in the Council financial planning	neutral
Procurement Policies	None	neutral
Asset Management Policies	None	neutral
LA21 & Environment Charter	Environmental sustainability should be improved as a result of the achievement of the targets included in the LAA	+ve
Community Safety	Compliments the work undertaken by Safer Ryedale and included in the Safer Ryedale Action Plan	+ve
Equalities	The NYSP equalities group are working to ensure the impact of the LAA is positive for all	+ve
E-Government		
Risk Assessment	Any risk should be shared by the partners who share the duty to co-operate. Any risk to the council should be mitigated through existing risk management planning	neutral
Estimated Timescale for achievement	Targets set for the next three years	

**NORTH YORKSHIRE – DRAFT LAA2 INDICATORS – Version 9 - Tuesday 4<sup>th</sup> March 2008**  
**Submitted to GOYH on Wednesday 5th March 2008**

*Note: The Indicators support the priorities of the North Yorkshire Sustainable Community Strategy (2008 -18), which are:*

- 1) Access to Services; 2) Affordable Housing; 3) Alcohol; 4) Children and Young People; 5) Community Cohesion; 6) Community Safety; 7) Economy and Enterprise; 8) Older People; 9) Health and Wellbeing; 10) Environment.*

SCS Priority	NIS or Local Indicator	Designated Local or Statutory	Brief Description	Baseline Some subject to confirmation.	Improvement Targets			Lead Partners (Thematic Partnership allocation –subject to confirmation.)	Comments C = GOYH/NYSP SHARED Match/near match to LAA1 Indicator.	
					08/09	09/10	10/11			
<b>STRONGER COMMUNITIES</b>										
5	NI 1	D	% of people who believe people from different backgrounds get on well together in their local area.	2006/07 BVUSS  Crav 77 Ham 85 Harr 87 Rich 87 Rye 81 Scar 76 Selb 76	78 86 88 88 82 77 77			80 88 90 90 84 79 79	Districts NYCC Third Sector Police  (Stronger)	<b>C</b>  STR/1/(b)
5	NI 3	D	Civic participation in the local area.	Tbc				Tbc	Districts NYCC  (Stronger)	<b>C</b>

SCS Priority	NIS or Local Indicator	Designated Local or Statutory	Brief Description	Baseline <i>Some subject to confirmation.</i>	Improvement Targets <i>Some subject to confirmation.</i>			Lead Partners <i>(Thematic Partnership allocation –subject to confirmation.)</i>	Comments <b>C = GOYH/NYSP SHARED</b> <i>Match/near match to LAA1 Indicator.</i>
5	L 63	L	Participation in regular volunteering.	2006/07 Survey 3.9%		6%	Tbc	Third Sector  <b>(Stronger)</b>	<b>C</b> STR/1(c) <b>STRETCH</b>
5	L 65	L	Environment for a thriving third sector. Percentage of VCS groups and organisations affirming growth in activity over the past year in terms of (i) financial turnover and (ii) volunteering (Growth of VCS).	Tbc			Tbc	Third Sector  <b>(Stronger)</b>	<b>C</b>  STR/1/(g)
9	NI 8	D	Adult participation in sport.	06/07 22.7%		26.7%		North Yorkshire Sport Districts  <b>(Healthier)</b>	<b>C</b> HC/5(a) <b>STRETCH</b>
7, 9	NI 11	L	Engagement in the arts.	06/07 5,208	5,420	5,528		Districts NYCC Third Sector  <b>(Stronger)</b>	STR/4(a)
1, 9, 10	L 41	L	% of population within 5 miles	31 March				NYCC	

### Policy and Resources

17 April 2008

SCS Priority	NIS or Local Indicator	Designated Local or Statutory	Brief Description	Baseline <i>Some subject to confirmation.</i>	Improvement Targets <i>Some subject to confirmation.</i>			Lead Partners <i>(Thematic Partnership allocation –subject to confirmation.)</i>	Comments <b>C = GOYH/NYSP SHARED</b> <i>Match/near match to LAA1 Indicator.</i>
			of a Joint Access Centre.	2006 27.60%	59.94%	76.60%	Tbc	Districts Third sector <b>(Stronger)</b>	STR/3(a) <b>STRETCH</b>
5, 9	L 56	L	Volunteering by people who are BME or unemployed or disabled.	Jan 2007 374	Jan 2009 438	Jan 2010 472	Tbc	Third Sector <b>(Stronger)</b>	STR/1(e) <b>STRETCH</b>
5, 9	L 57	L	Volunteering in Special Volunteering.	Jan 2007 347	Jan 2009 410	Jan 2010 441	Tbc	Third Sector <b>(Stronger)</b>	STR/1(f) <b>STRETCH</b>
<b>SAFER COMMUNITIES</b>									
3, 6, 9	L 60	L	Reduce the incidence of violent crime  a)The number of violent crimes recorded annually  b) The proportion of violent offences which result in Sanction Detections	05/06  8,212  51.4%	6,993	6870	Tbc  Tbc	Police <b>(Safer)</b>	<b>C</b>  SAF/8(a) and (b) <b>STRETCH</b>
3, 6, 9	NI 17		Perceptions of anti-social	06/07				CDRPs	<b>C</b>

### Policy and Resources

17 April 2008



SCS Priority	NIS or Local Indicator	Designated Local or Statutory	Brief Description	Baseline <i>Some subject to confirmation.</i>	Improvement Targets <i>Some subject to confirmation.</i>			Lead Partners <i>(Thematic Partnership allocation –subject to confirmation.)</i>	Comments <b>C = GOYH/NYSP SHARED</b> <i>Match/near match to LAA1 Indicator.</i>
		L	behaviour.	14%	15%	16%	Tbc	<b>(Safer)</b>	SAF/2/(iv)
4, 6, 9	NI 19	D	Rate of proven re-offending by young offenders.	Tbc			Tbc	YOT <b>(Safer)</b>	<b>C</b> SAF/7/(b) Links to NI 30
3, 6, 9	NI 20	D	Assault with injury crime rate.	Tbc			Tbc	CDRPs <b>(Safer)</b>	<b>C</b>
3, 6, 9	NI 30	D	Re-offending rate of prolific and priority offenders.	Tbc			Tbc	CDRPs <b>(Safer)</b>	<b>C</b> SAF/7(c) Link to NI 19
3, 6, 9	NI 32	D	Repeat incidents of domestic violence.	Tbc			Tbc	CDRPs <b>(Safer)</b>	<b>C</b>

### Policy and Resources

17 April 2008

SCS Priority	NIS or Local Indicator	Designated Local or Statutory	Brief Description	Baseline <i>Some subject to confirmation.</i>	Improvement Targets <i>Some subject to confirmation.</i>				Lead Partners <i>(Thematic Partnership allocation –subject to confirmation.)</i>	Comments <b>C = GOYH/NYSP SHARED</b> <i>Match/near match to LAA1 Indicator.</i>
3, 6, 9	NI 39	D	Alcohol-harm related hospital admission rates.	Tbc			Tbc	PCT <b>(Healthier)</b>	<b>C</b>	
3, 6, 9	NI 41	D	Perceptions of drunk or rowdy behaviour as a problem.	Tbc			Tbc	CDRPs <b>(Safer)</b>	<b>C</b>	
4, 6, 9	NI 45	D	Young offenders engagement in suitable education, employment or training.	Apr-Dec 2007 58.9%	63.0%	67.0%	71.0%	YOT <b>(Safer)</b>	<b>C</b>	
3, 6, 9	NI 47	D	People killed or seriously injured in road traffic accidents.	05/06 697	644	627	Tbc	Police NYCC Fire & Rescue PCT <b>(Safer)</b>	<b>C</b>  SAF/4(a) <b>STRETCH</b>	

**CHILDREN AND YOUNG PEOPLE**

**Be Healthy**

**Policy and Resources**

17 April 2008

SCS Priority	NIS or Local Indicator	Designated Local or Statutory	Brief Description	Baseline <i>Some subject to confirmation.</i>	Improvement Targets <i>Some subject to confirmation.</i>			Lead Partners <i>(Thematic Partnership allocation –subject to confirmation.)</i>	Comments <b>C = GOYH/NYSP SHARED</b> <i>Match/near match to LAA1 Indicator.</i>
4, 9	NI 56	D	Obesity among primary school age children in Year 6.	06/07 est. 12.43%	Tbc	Tbc	Tbc	PCT <b>(Healthier)</b>	<b>C</b> HC/6/(a)
4, 9	L 64	L	Children and young people's participation in high quality sport.	2007/08 88%	92%	97%	99%	NYCC <b>(Children and YP)</b>	<b>C</b> CYP/2(a) <b>STRETCH</b>
4, 9	L 58	L	% of schools achieving Healthy Schools Status.	Dec 2007 60.6%	Dec 2008 70%	Dec 2009 85%	Tbc	NYCC <b>(Children and YP)</b>	CYP/1(a) <b>STRETCH</b>
<b>Stay Safe</b>									
4, 9	NI 60	D	Core assessments for children's social care that were carried out within 35 days of their commencement.	2007/08 Target 80%			Tbc	NYCC <b>(Children and YP)</b>	<b>C</b>
4, 9	L61	L	Number of children living in kinship care as an alternative to being looked after.	2007/08 target 85	90	95	Tbc	NYCC <b>(Children and YP)</b>	CYP/3/(a)
4, 9	L62	L	Number looked after of children placed out of North Yorkshire	2007/08 target				NYCC <b>(Children and YP)</b>	CYP/3/(b)

### Policy and Resources

17 April 2008

SCS Priority	NIS or Local Indicator	Designated Local or Statutory	Brief Description	Baseline <i>Some subject to confirmation.</i>	Improvement Targets			Lead Partners <i>(Thematic Partnership allocation –subject to confirmation.)</i>	Comments <b>C = GOYH/NYSP SHARED</b> <i>Match/near match to LAA1 Indicator.</i>
				113	85	80	75		
<b>Enjoy and Achieve</b>									
	NI 72	S	Achievement in early years.					NYCC <i>(Children and YP)</i>	DCSF STATUTORY
	NI 73	S	Level 4 or above in English & Maths at KS2					NYCC <i>(Children and YP)</i>	DCSF STATUTORY
	NI 74	S	Level 5 or above in English & Maths at KS3					NYCC <i>(Children and YP)</i>	DCSF STATUTORY
	NI 75	S	5 or more A-C at GCSE (or equivalent) including English & Maths					NYCC <i>(Children and YP)</i>	DCSF STATUTORY
4, 7	NI 81	D	Reducing the inequality gap in the achievement of a Level 3 qualification by age 19.	New Indicator No baseline available			Tbc	NYCC <i>(Children and YP)</i>	<b>C</b>
	NI 83	S	Level 5 or above in Science at KS3					NYCC <i>(Children and YP)</i>	DCSF STATUTORY

### Policy and Resources

17 April 2008

SCS Priority	NIS or Local Indicator	Designated Local or Statutory	Brief Description	Baseline <i>Some subject to confirmation.</i>	Improvement Targets <i>Some subject to confirmation.</i>			Lead Partners <i>(Thematic Partnership allocation –subject to confirmation.)</i>	Comments <b>C = GOYH/NYSP SHARED</b> <i>Match/near match to LAA1 Indicator.</i>
	NI 87	S	Secondary School persistent absence.					NYCC <b>(Children and YP)</b>	DCSF STATUTORY
4, 7	NI 90	D	Take up of 14 – 19 learning diplomas.	New Indicator No baseline available			Tbc	NYCC LSC <b>(Children and YP)</b>	<b>C</b>
	NI 92	S	Narrowing the gap in the Early Years Foundation Profile					NYCC <b>(Children and YP)</b>	DCSF STATUTORY
	NI 93	S	Progression by 2 levels in English between KS1 &KS2					NYCC <b>(Children and YP)</b>	DCSF STATUTORY
	NI 94	S	Progression by 2 levels in Maths between KS1 &KS2					NYCC <b>(Children and YP)</b>	DCSF STATUTORY
	NI 95	S	Progression by 2 levels in English between KS2 &KS3					NYCC <b>(Children and YP)</b>	DCSF STATUTORY
	NI 96	S	Progression by 2 levels in Maths between KS2 &KS3					NYCC <b>(Children and YP)</b>	DCSF STATUTORY
	NI 97	S	Progression by 2 levels in					NYCC	DCSF

### Policy and Resources

17 April 2008

SCS Priority	NIS or Local Indicator	Designated Local or Statutory	Brief Description	Baseline <i>Some subject to confirmation.</i>	Improvement Targets <i>Some subject to confirmation.</i>			Lead Partners <i>(Thematic Partnership allocation –subject to confirmation.)</i>	Comments <b>C = GOYH/NYSP SHARED</b> <i>Match/near match to LAA1 Indicator.</i>
			English between KS3 &KS4					<b>(Children and YP)</b>	STATUTOR Y
	NI 98	S	Progression by 2 levels in Maths between KS3 &KS4					NYCC <b>(Children and YP)</b>	DCSF STATUTOR Y
	NI 99	S	Children in care reaching Level 4 in English at KS2					NYCC <b>(Children and YP)</b>	DCSF STATUTOR Y
	NI 100	S	Children in care reaching Level 4 in Maths at KS2					NYCC <b>(Children and YP)</b>	DCSF STATUTOR Y
	NI 101	S	Children in care achieving 5 A-C GCSEs (or equivalent) at KS4 (including Maths & English)					NYCC <b>(Children and YP)</b>	DCSF STATUTOR Y
Make a positive contribution									
4, 9	NI 110	L	Young people's participation in positive activities.	New Indicator. No baseline data			Tbc	NYCC <b>(Children and YP)</b>	<b>C</b>

**Policy and Resources**

17 April 2008

SCS Priority	NIS or Local Indicator	Designated Local or Statutory	Brief Description	Baseline <i>Some subject to confirmation.</i>	Improvement Targets <i>Some subject to confirmation.</i>			Lead Partners <i>(Thematic Partnership allocation –subject to confirmation.)</i>	Comments <b>C = GOYH/NYSP SHARED</b> <i>Match/near match to LAA1 Indicator.</i>
4, 9	NI 112	L	Under 18 conception rate.		43.5% reduction from 1998 baseline	46.8% reduction from 1998 baseline	Tbc	PCT  <b>(Healthier)</b>	HC/1/(d)
4, 9	NI 115	D	Substance misuse by young people	Tbc			Tbc	CDRPs NYCC POLICE PCT  <b>(Safer)</b>	<b>C</b>
<b>Economic Wellbeing</b>									
4, 7, 9	NI 117	D	16 to 18 year olds who are not in education, training or employment.	2007/08 target 4.4%	4.1%	3.6%	Tbc	NYCC  <b>(Children and YP)</b>	<b>C</b>  CYP/7(a) <b>STRETCH</b>
<b>ADULT HEALTH AND WELLBEING</b>									
9	NI 120	L	All age all cause mortality.	06/07 18%	19.5%	21.0%	Tbc	PCT	HC/1/(a)

**Policy and Resources**

17 April 2008

SCS Priority	NIS or Local Indicator	Designated Local or Statutory	Brief Description	Baseline <i>Some subject to confirmation.</i>	Improvement Targets <i>Some subject to confirmation.</i>			Lead Partners <i>(Thematic Partnership allocation –subject to confirmation.)</i>	Comments <b>C = GOYH/NYSP SHARED</b> <i>Match/near match to LAA1 Indicator.</i>
								<b>(Healthier)</b>	
8, 9	NI 130	L	Social care clients receiving Self Directed Support (Direct Payments and Individual Budgets).	340 per 100,000 estimate	83 per 100,000	106 per 100,000	Not applicable	NYCC <b>(Adults)</b>	ASP/6(a) <b>STRETCH</b>
1, 8, 9	NI 135	D	Carers receiving needs assessment or review and a specific carer's service or advice and information.	12.0% estimate 11.0% on existing C62 definition	13.5%	14.5%	16.0%	NYCC <b>(Adults)</b>	<b>C</b>
1, 8, 9	NI 136	D	People supported to live independently through Social Services (all ages).	29.5 estimate	30.5	31.5	32.5	NYCC <b>(Adults)</b>	<b>C</b>
4, 9	L 1	L	Smoking in pregnancy.	05/06 17.7%	14.2%	13.0%	Tbc	PCT <b>(Healthier)</b>	HC/3((c) <b>STRETCH</b>
2, 5, 8, 9	L 12	L	Additional extra care units occupied.	2007/08 estimate				NYCC Districts	ASP/4(a)

### Policy and Resources

17 April 2008



SCS Priority	NIS or Local Indicator	Designated Local or Statutory	Brief Description	Baseline <i>Some subject to confirmation.</i>	Improvement Targets <i>Some subject to confirmation.</i>			Lead Partners <i>(Thematic Partnership allocation –subject to confirmation.)</i>	Comments C = GOYH/NYSP SHARED <i>Match/near match to LAA1 Indicator.</i>
				93	150	120	N/A	(Adults)	<b>STRETCH</b>
1, 8, 9	L 13	L	Intensive home care as % of all intensive care.	2007/08 estimate 30.8%	24.0%	26.0%	N/A	NYCC (Adults)	ASP/4(b) <b>STRETCH</b>
1, 8, 9	L 17	L	Adult protection referrals.	2007/08 estimate 224	246	273	N/A	NYCC (Adults)	ASP/10(a) <b>STRETCH</b>
8, 9	L18	L	Number of people benefiting from Telecare	2007/08 estimate 11,120	11,570	11,690	11,800	NYCC (Adults)	ASP /11/(a)
1, 8, 9	L 19	L	People aged 16 + helped to live independently at home through assistive technology.	149	No target	357 cumulative for 07/08;	N/A	NYCC (Adults)	ASP/11(c) <b>STRETCH</b>

**Policy and Resources**

17 April 2008

SCS Priority	NIS or Local Indicator	Designated Local or Statutory	Brief Description	Baseline <i>Some subject to confirmation.</i>	Improvement Targets <i>Some subject to confirmation.</i>			Lead Partners <i>(Thematic Partnership allocation –subject to confirmation.)</i>	Comments <b>C = GOYH/NYSP SHARED</b> <i>Match/near match to LAA1 Indicator.</i>
						08/09 and 09/10			
<b>TACKLING EXCLUSION AND PROMOTING EQUALITY</b>									
2, 8, 9	NI 141	D	Number of vulnerable people achieving independent living.	70% estimate	71%	72%	73%	NYCC District <b>(Adults)</b>	<b>C</b> ASP/3/(a)
<b>LOCAL ECONOMY</b>									
7, 9	NI 152	D	Working age people on out of work benefits.	May 2007: Scar 7.9%	No target	No target	5.9%	Scarborough BC <b>(Economy and E)</b>	<b>C</b>

**Policy and Resources**

17 April 2008

SCS Priority	NIS or Local Indicator	Designated Local or Statutory	Brief Description	Baseline <i>Some subject to confirmation.</i>	Improvement Targets <i>Some subject to confirmation.</i>			Lead Partners <i>(Thematic Partnership allocation –subject to confirmation.)</i>	Comments <b>C = GOYH/NYSP SHARED</b> <i>Match/near match to LAA1 Indicator.</i>
2, 5, 9	NI 155	D	Number of affordable homes delivered.	05/06 296			2,220 Cumulative 1 Apr 07 - 31 Mar 2011	Districts NYCC  <b>(Stronger)</b>	<b>C</b>  <i>STR/2(a)</i> <b>STRETCH</b>
2, 9	NI 159	D	Supply of ready to develop housing sites	Tbc			Tbc	Districts  <b>(Stronger)</b>	<b>C</b>
7, 9	NI 164	D	Working age population qualified to at least level 3 or higher.	48.7%			56%	LSC  <b>(Economy and E)</b>	<b>C</b>
7	NI 171	D	VAT registration rate (per 10,000 resident adults).	2006 NY 41 Crav 41 Ham 46 Harr 48 Rich 39 Rye 42 Scar 28	No target	No target	Tbc 41 46 48 39 42 Tbc	Districts NYCC  <b>(Economy and E)</b>	<b>C</b>  <i>EE/1(a)</i>

### Policy and Resources

17 April 2008

SCS Priority	NIS or Local Indicator	Designated Local or Statutory	Brief Description	Baseline <i>Some subject to confirmation.</i>	Improvement Targets <i>Some subject to confirmation.</i>			Lead Partners <i>(Thematic Partnership allocation –subject to confirmation.)</i>	Comments <b>C = GOYH/NYSP SHARED</b> <i>Match/near match to LAA1 Indicator.</i>
				Sel 37			37 To increase rates in Scar and maintain levels elsewhere.		
1, 8, 9, 10	NI 175	D	Number of passengers carried on Community Transport.	County baseline Tbc			Tbc	NYCC <b>(Stronger)</b>	<b>C</b>

**Policy and Resources**

17 April 2008

SCS Priority	NIS or Local Indicator	Designated Local or Statutory	Brief Description	Baseline <i>Some subject to confirmation.</i>	Improvement Targets <i>Some subject to confirmation.</i>			Lead Partners <i>(Thematic Partnership allocation –subject to confirmation.)</i>	Comments C = GOYH/NYSP SHARED <i>Match/near match to LAA1 Indicator.</i>
				06/07 140,194	189,000	199,000	205,000	NYCC  <b>(Stronger)</b>	STR/3(c) <b>STRETCH</b>
1, 2, 9	L 8	L	Prevent homelessness through advice and proactive intervention	2005/06  Crav 1.4 Ham 0.69 Harr 0.75 Rich 1.47 Rye 2 Scar 4.11 Selb Tbc	3 2.5 1 1.8 2.2 4.5 0.13	3 3.2 1 2 2.4 4.6 0.14	Tbc	Districts  <b>(Stronger)</b>	STR/2/(d)
7	L 36	L	Grow the Creative Industries sector.	06/07 1,900 businesses	1,968 businesses	1,999 businesses	Tbc	NYCC Districts  <b>(Economy and E)</b>	EE//1(e)

**Policy and Resources**

17 April 2008

SCS Priority	NIS or Local Indicator	Designated Local or Statutory	Brief Description	Baseline <i>Some subject to confirmation.</i>	Improvement Targets <i>Some subject to confirmation.</i>			Lead Partners <i>(Thematic Partnership allocation –subject to confirmation.)</i>	Comments <b>C = GOYH/NYSP SHARED</b> <i>Match/near match to LAA1 Indicator.</i>
7	L 37	L	Increase the value of tourism earnings.	06/07 £943.64 m	£967. 38m	£979.48m	Tbc	NYCC Districts  <b><i>(Economy and E)</i></b>	<b>EE/1/(d)</b>
<b>ENVIRONMENTAL SUSTAINABILITY</b>									
9, 10	NI 185	D	CO <sub>2</sub> reduction from local authority operations (excl schools).	Not applicable ref Guidance	To be determined as a baseline	2% reduction on 2008/09	4% reduction on 2008/09	NYCC Districts  <b><i>(Stronger)</i></b>	<b>C</b> <i>STR/5/(a)</i>
9, 10	NI 186	D	Per capita reduction in CO <sub>2</sub> emissions in the LA area.	Not specified. Ref	3.5% reduction on	7.0% reduction on	10.5% reduction	NYCC Districts	<b>C</b>

### Policy and Resources

17 April 2008

SCS Priority	NIS or Local Indicator	Designated Local or Statutory	Brief Description	Baseline <i>Some subject to confirmation.</i>	Improvement Targets			Lead Partners <i>(Thematic Partnership allocation –subject to confirmation.)</i>	Comments <b>C = GOYH/NYSP SHARED</b> <i>Match/near match to LAA1 Indicator.</i>
					<i>Some subject to confirmation.</i>				
				erence it being supplied by GOYH/ linked to 2010,2020 estimated emissions saving document.	baseline	baseline	ctio n on bas elin e	<b>(Stronger)</b>	
7, 9, 10	NI 189	D	Flood and coastal erosion risk management.	Tbc			Tbc	Districts <b>(Stronger)</b>	<b>C</b>
10	NI 192	D	Household waste recycled and/or composted	07/08 est. 37.80%	41.00 %	42.00%	43.00%	Districts NYCC <b>(Stronger)</b>	<b>C</b> STR/6/(a)
10	NI 193	D	Municipal waste landfilled.	07/08 64.00%	62.00	61.00%	60.0	NYCC Districts	<b>C</b> STR/6/(b)

**Policy and Resources**

17 April 2008

SCS Priority	NIS or Local Indicator	Designated Local or Statutory	Brief Description	Baseline <i>Some subject to confirmation.</i>	Improvement Targets <i>Some subject to confirmation.</i>			Lead Partners <i>(Thematic Partnership allocation –subject to confirmation.)</i>	Comments <b>C = GOYH/NYSP SHARED</b> <i>Match/near match to LAA1 Indicator.</i>
					%		0%	<b>(Stronger)</b>	
9, 10	NI 197	D	Improved local biodiversity – active management of local sites.	20 SINC In NY which have received positive management in the last 5 years (2.6% of all NY SINC .	30 SINC in NY in positive management (4.0% of NY total.	40 SINC in NY in positive management (5.3% of NY total.	50 SINC s in NY in positive management (6.6 % of NY total.	Districts NYCC  <b>(Stronger)</b>	<b>C</b>

**SUMMARY:**

		<u>LAA1 Reward Element Indicators included</u>	<u>Other LAA1 Indicators included</u>
Statutory	16	N/A	N/A
Designated	32	5	9
Local	<u>25</u>	<u>13</u>	<u>9</u>
TOTAL	73	18 (NI 15 a and b counted as 1)	18

**Allocation to Thematic Partnerships**

Adults	9
Children and Young People	24 (including 16 statutory)
Healthier Communities	6

**Policy and Resources**

17 April 2008



Safer communities	11
Stronger Communities	18
Economy and Enterprise	<u>5</u>
	73

ND/KB NYCC S&CPU

Annex B

**Indicator set extracted from the full set for NY LAA2, of those Indicators requiring a District Lead**

SCS Priority	NIS or Local Indicator	Desig Local or Stat	Brief Description	Baseline <i>Some subject to confirmation</i>	Improvement Targets <i>Some subject to confirmation.</i>			Lead Partners <i>(Thematic Partnership allocation – subject to confirmation.)</i>	Comments <b>C = GOYH/N YSP SHARED</b> <i>Match/ near match to LAA1 Indicator.</i>	Ryedale Data Lead	RDC Delivery Lead & Policy Link
					08/09	09/10	10/11				
<b>STRONGER COMMUNITIES</b>											
5	NI 1	D	% of people who believe people from different backgrounds get on well together in their local area.	2006/07 BVUSS  Crav 77 Ham 85 Harr 87 Rich 87 Rye 81 Scar 76 Selb 76	77 78 85 86 87 88 88 82 77 77	78 86 88 88 82 77 77	80 88 90 90 84 79 79	Districts NYCC Third Sector Police  <b>(Stronger)</b>	<b>C</b>  <i>STR/1/(b)</i>	Phil Hancock  Place Survey	Clare Slater  Community Engagemen Strategy  Imagine Ryedale... Action Plan 2008-10  RDC Equalities

**Policy and Resources**

17 April 2008

											Scheme	
5	NI 3	D	Civic participation in the local area.	Tbc				Tbc	Districts NYCC <b>(Stronger)</b>	<b>C</b>	Phil Hancock  Place Survey	See above
9	NI 8	D	Adult participation in sport.	06/07 22.7%			26.7%		North Yorkshire Sport Districts <b>(Healthier)</b>	<b>C</b> HC/5(a) <b>STRETC</b> <b>H</b>	Jos Holmes  Sport England Active People Survey	Jos Holmes  Cultural Services SDP
7, 9	NI 11	L	Engagement in the arts.	06/07 5,208	5,420	5,528			Districts NYCC Third Sector <b>(Stronger)</b>	STR/4(a)	NYCC to collate District Data	Jos Holmes  Cultural Services SDP
1, 9, 10	L 41	L	% of population within 5 miles of a Joint Access Centre.	31 March 2006  27.60%	59.94%	76.60%	Tbc		NYCC Districts Third sector <b>(Stronger)</b>	STR/3(a) <b>STRETC</b> <b>H</b>	NYCC	Alice Thirlwall  Customer Access Strategy
<b>SAFER COMMUNITIES</b>												
3, 6, 9	NI 17	L	Perceptions of anti-social behaviour.	06/07  14%	15%	16%	Tbc		CDRPs <b>(Safer)</b>	<b>C</b>  SAF/2/(iv) )	Phil Hancock  Place Survey	Ana Richards  Safer Ryedale Partnership

### Policy and Resources

17 April 2008

											Plan 2008-9
3, 6, 9	NI 20	D	Assault with injury crime rate.	Tbc			Tbc	CDRPs <i>(Safer)</i>	<b>C</b>	Police	Ana Richards  Safer Ryedale Partnership Plan 2008-9
3, 6, 9	NI 30	D	Re-offending rate of prolific and priority offenders.	Tbc			Tbc	CDRPs <i>(Safer)</i>	<b>C</b> SAF/7(c) Link to NI 19	Police	Ana Richards  Safer Ryedale Partnership Plan 2008-9
3, 6, 9	NI 32	D	Repeat incidents of domestic violence.	Tbc			Tbc	CDRPs <i>(Safer)</i>	<b>C</b>	Police	Ana Richards  Safer Ryedale Partnership Plan 2008-9
3, 6, 9	NI 41	D	Perceptions of drunk or rowdy behaviour as a problem.	Tbc			Tbc	CDRPs <i>(Safer)</i>	<b>C</b>	Phil Hancock  Place Survey	Ana Richards  Safer Ryedale Partnership Plan 2008-9

**CHILDREN AND YOUNG PEOPLE**

**Be Healthy**

**Enjoy and Achieve**

**Policy and Resources**

17 April 2008

Make a positive contribution												
4, 9	NI 115	D	Substance misuse by young people	Tbc				Tbc	CDRPs NYCC POLICE PCT  <b>(Safer)</b>	<b>C</b>	NYCC DAAT	Ana Richards  Safer Ryedale Partnership Plan 2008-9
Economic Wellbeing												
ADULT HEALTH AND WELLBEING												
2, 5, 8, 9	L 12	L	Additional extra care units occupied.	2007/08 estimate  93	150	120	N/A	NYCC Districts  <b>(Adults)</b>	ASP/4(a) <b>STRETC</b> <b>H</b>	NYCC Adult Services  Supporting People	Richard Etherington  RDC Housing Strategy	
TACKLING EXCLUSION AND PROMOTING EQUALITY												
2, 8, 9	NI 141	D	Number of vulnerable people achieving independent living.	70% estimate	71%	72%	73%	NYCC District  <b>(Adults)</b>	<b>C</b>  ASP/3(a)	NYCC Adult Services  Supporting People	Richard Etherington  RDC Housing Strategy	
LOCAL ECONOMY												
2, 5, 9	NI 155	D	Number of affordable homes delivered.	05/06 296			2,220 Cumulative 1 Apr 07 - 31Mar <b>2011</b>	Districts NYCC  <b>(Stronger)</b>	<b>C</b>  STR/2(a) <b>STRETC</b> <b>H</b>	Julian Rudd & Richard Etherington  LDF	Julian Rudd Local Development Framework Richard Etherington,	

### Policy and Resources

17 April 2008

											Housing Strategy	
2, 9	NI 159	D	Supply of ready to develop housing sites	Tbc				Tbc	Districts <b>(Stronger)</b>	<b>C</b>	Julian Rudd LDF	Julian Rudd Local Development Framework
7	NI 171	D	VAT registration rate (per 10,000 resident adults).  (To increase rates in Scarb and maintain levels elsewhere.)	2006 NY 41 Crav 41 Ham 46 Harr 48 Rich 39 Rye 42 Scar 28 Sel 37	No target	No target		Tbc 41 46 48 39 42 Tbc 37	Districts NYCC <b>(Economy and E)</b>	<b>C</b>  EE/1(a)	NYCC SRIP	Julian Rudd  Sub Regional Investment Plan
1, 2, 9	L 8	L	Prevent homelessness through advice and proactive intervention	2005/06 Crav 1.4 Ham 0.69 Harr 0.75 Rich 1.47 Rye 2 Scar 4.11 Selb Tbc	3 2.5 1 1.8 2.2 4.5 0.13	3 3.2 1 2 2.4 4.6 0.14		Tbc	Districts <b>(Stronger)</b>	STR/2/(d)	Richard Etherington  RDC Housing Strategy	Richard Etherington  RDC Housing Strategy
7	L 36	L	Grow the Creative Industries sector.	06/07 1,900 businesses	1,968 businesses	1,999 businesses		Tbc	NYCC Districts <b>(Economy and E)</b>	EE//1(e)	North Yorkshire Culture	Jos Holmes  Cultural Services SDP SRIP

**Policy and Resources**

17 April 2008

7	L 37	L	Increase the value of tourism earnings.	06/07 £943.64m	£967.38m	£979.48m	Tbc	NYCC Districts <b>(Economy and E)</b>	<b>EE/1/(d)</b>		Jos Holmes  Moors and Coast Tourism Partnership Business Plan 2008-13
<b>ENVIRONMENTAL SUSTAINABILITY</b>											
9, 10	NI 185	D	CO <sub>2</sub> reduction from local authority operations (excl schools).	Not applicable ref Guidance	To be determined as a baseline	2% reduction on 2008/09	4% reduction on 2008/09	NYCC Districts <b>(Stronger)</b>	<b>C</b> <i>STR/5/(a)</i>	Steve Richmond	Steve Richmond RDC Climate Change Strategy
9, 10	NI 186	D	Per capita reduction in CO <sub>2</sub> emissions in the LA area.	Not specified. Reference it being supplied by GOYH/ linked to 2010,2020 estimated emissions saving document.	3.5% reduction on baseline	7.0% reduction on baseline	10.5% reduction on baseline	NYCC Districts <b>(Stronger)</b>	<b>C</b>	Defra Data	Range of Planning including LDF
7, 9, 10	NI 189	D	Flood and coastal erosion risk management.	Tbc			Tbc	Districts <b>(Stronger)</b>	<b>C</b>	Defra Data	Dave Summers RDC Policy

### Policy and Resources

17 April 2008

											Statement on Flood Defence
10	NI 192	D	Household waste recycled and/or composted	07/08 est. 37.80%	41.00%	42.00%	43.00%	Districts NYCC <b>(Stronger)</b>	<b>C</b> STR/6/(a)	Waste-Dataflow	Phil Long  North Yorkshire Waste Strategy
10	NI 193	D	Municipal waste landfilled.	07/08 64.00%	62.00%	61.00%	60.00%	NYCC Districts <b>(Stronger)</b>	<b>C</b> STR/6/(b)	Waste-Dataflow	Phil Long  North Yorkshire Waste Strategy
9, 10	NI 197	D	Improved local biodiversity – active management of local sites.	20 SINC In NY which have received positive management in the last 5 years (2.6% of all NY SINC	30 SINC in NY in positive management (4.0% of NY total.	40 SINC in NY in positive management (5.3% of NY total.	50 SINC in NY in positive management (6.6% of NY total.	Districts NYCC <b>(Stronger)</b>	<b>C</b>	Julian Rudd  BAP	Julian Rudd  Biodiversity Action Plan

**SUMMARY:**

<b>Statutory</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>Designated</b>	<b>20</b>
<b>Local</b>	<b>5</b>

**Policy and Resources**

17 April 2008

**TOTAL**                      **25**

**Allocation to Thematic Partnerships**

<b>Adults</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>Children and Young People</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>Healthier Communities</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>Safer communities</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>Stronger Communities</b>	<b>13</b>
<b>Economy and Enterprise</b>	<b><u>3</u></b>
	<b>25</b>